arXiv:1407.4778v3 [math.AG] 29 Sep 2015

Comparing tautological relations from the equivariant Gromov-Witten theory of projective spaces and spin structures

Felix Janda

September 30, 2015

Abstract

Pandharipande-Pixton-Zvonkine's proof of Pixton's generalized Faber-Zagier relations in the tautological ring of $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ has started the study of tautological relations from semisimple cohomological field theories. In this article we compare the relations obtained in the examples of the equivariant Gromov-Witten theory of projective spaces and of spin structures. We prove an equivalence between the $\mathbb{P}^1$- and 3-spin relations, and more generally between restricted $\mathbb{P}^m$-relations and similarly restricted $(m+2)$-spin relations. We also show that the general $\mathbb{P}^m$-relations imply the $(m+2)$-spin relations.

1 Introduction

The study of the Chow ring of the moduli space of curves was initiated Mumford in [11]. Because it is difficult to understand the whole Chow ring in general, the tautological subrings of classes reflecting the geometry of the objects parametrized by the moduli space were introduced. The tautological ring $R^*(\overline{M}_{g,n})$ is compactly described [2] as the smallest system

$$R^*(\overline{M}_{g,n}) \subseteq A^*(\overline{M}_{g,n})$$

of subrings compatible with push-forward under the tautological maps, i.e. the maps obtained from forgetting marked points or gluing curves along common markings.

There is a canonical set of generators parametrized by decorated graphs [5]. The formal vector space $\mathcal{S}_{g,n}$ generated by them, the *strata algebra*, therefore admits a surjective map to $R^*(\overline{M}_{g,n})$ and the structure of the tautological ring is determined by the kernel of this surjection. Elements of the kernel are called *tautological relations*.

In [15] A. Pixton proposed a set of (at the time conjectural) relations generalizing the relations of Faber-Zagier in $R^*(M_g)$. Furthermore, he conjectured that these give all tautological relations. The first proof [13] of the fact that the conjectural relations are actual relations (in cohomology) brought cohomological field theories (CohFTs) into the picture.

A CohFT on a free module V of finite rank over a base ring A is a system of classes $\Omega_{g,n}$ behaving nicely under pull-back via the tautological maps. A CohFT can also be used to give V the structure of a Frobenius algebra. The CohFT is called semisimple if, after possible base extension, the algebra V has a basis of orthogonal idempotents.

For semisimple CohFTs there is a conjecture by Givental [3] proven in some cases by himself and in full generality in cohomology by Teleman [16], giving a reconstruction of the CohFT from its genus 0, codimension 0 part and the data of a power series $R(z)$ of endomorphisms of V. The formula naturally lifts to the strata algebra.

To get relations from a semisimple cohomological field theory we can use that the reconstructed CohFT of elements in the strata algebra is in general only defined over an extension $B \leftarrow A$. However since we have started out with a CohFT over A, this implies that certain linear combinations of elements in the strata algebra have to vanish under the projection to the tautological ring.

This procedure was essentially used in the proof [13] in the special example of the CohFT defined from Witten's 3-spin class. There the base ring is a polynomial ring in one variable but the reconstructed CohFT seems to have poles in this variable.

In [14] (in preparation) the authors construct tautological relations using Witten's r-spin class for any $r \geq 3$. Given a list of integers $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \{0, \ldots, r-2\}$, Witten's class $W_{g,n}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ is a cohomology class on $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ of pure degree

$$D_{g,n}(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = \frac{(r-2)(g-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i}{r}.$$

Witten's class can be "shifted" by any vector in the vector space $\langle e_0, \ldots, e_{r-2} \rangle$ to obtain a semisimple CohFT. In practice, the authors use two particular shifts for which the answer can be explicitly computed. Shifted Witten's class is of mixed degree: more precisely, the degrees of its components go from 0 to $D_{g,n}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$. On the other hand, the Givental-Teleman classificiation of semisimple CohFTs gives an expression of the shifted Witten class in terms of tautological classes. The authors conclude that the components of this expression beyond degree $D_{g,n}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ are tautological relations.

This article studies how relations from spin structures are related to the relations obtained from the CohFT defined from the equivariant Gromov-Witten theory of projective spaces. The following two theorems are our main results.

Theorem 1 (rough version)**.** *The relations obtained from the equivariant Gromov-Witten theory of $\mathbb{P}^m$ imply the $(m+2)$-spin relations.*

Theorem 2 (rough version)**.** *A special restricted set of relations from equivariant $\mathbb{P}^m$ is equivalent to a corresponding restricted set of $(m+2)$-spin relations. For $\mathbb{P}^1$ and 3-spin no restriction is necessary.*

Since for equivariant $\mathbb{P}^m$ the reconstruction holds in Chow, Theorem 1 implies that the higher spin relations also hold in Chow.

We will give strong evidence that the method of proof for Theorem 2 cannot directly be extended to an equivalence between the full $\mathbb{P}^m$- and

$(m+2)$-spin relations for $m > 2$. Possibly, there are more $\mathbb{P}^m$- than $(m+2)$-spin relations.

Any of the theorems gives another proof of the fact that Pixton's relations hold in Chow. In fact, the proof of Theorem 1 in the case $m = 1$ is essentially a simplified version of the author's previous proof in [8].

This article does not give a comparison between relations from CohFTs of different dimensions, nor does it consider all relations from equivariant $\mathbb{P}^m$. On the other hand, if indeed Pixton's relations are all tautological relations, the 3-spin relations have to imply the relations from any other semisimple CohFT. Yet, for example it not clear how the 4-spin relations can be written in terms of 3-spin relations.

The article is structured as follows. In Section 2 we give definitions of CohFTs, discuss the R-matrix action on CohFTs and the reconstruction result. We then in Section 2.5 turn to the two examples of equivariant $\mathbb{P}^m$ and the CohFT from the A_{m+1}-singularity. In Section 2.6 we describe the general procedure of obtaining relations from semisimple CohFTs and general methods of proving that the relations from one CohFT imply the relations from another. We then state precise versions of Theorem 1 and 2. Section 3 discusses explicit expression of the R-matrices in both theories in terms of asymptotics of oscillating integrals. The constraints following from these expressions will be used in the next sections. We also note a connection to Airy functions. Section 4 and Section 5 give proofs of Theorem 1 and 2. Finally, Section 6 gives evidence why, with the methods used in the proofs of the theorems, an equivalence between $\mathbb{P}^m$- and $(m+2)$-spin relations cannot be established. Since the reconstruction result of Givental we use to get relations in Chow has never appeared explicitly in the literature, we recall its proof in Appendix A.

Acknowledgments

This work started out of discussions at the conference *Cohomology of the moduli space of curves* organized by the *Forschungsinstitut für Mathematik* at ETH Zürich. The author is especially grateful for encouragement and explanations from Y.P. Lee. The author thanks the *Mathematics Department of the University of Utah* for the hospitality during his visit in January 2014. The author is grateful for comments of A. Pixton and D. Zvonkine on earlier versions of the paper, a copy of a draft of [14] from R. Pandharipande and for helpful discussions with S. Keel.

The author was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation grant SNF 200021_143274.

2 Cohomological field theories

2.1 Definitions

Cohomological field theories were first introduced by Kontsevich and Manin in [10] to formalize the structure of classes from GW-theory. Let A be an integral, commutative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra, V a free A-module of finite rank and η a non-singular bilinear form on V.

Definition 1. A cohomological field theory (CohFT) Ω on (V, η) is a system

$$\Omega_{g,n} \in A^*(\overline{M}_{g,n}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} (V^*)^{\otimes n}$$

of multilinear forms with values in the Chow ring of $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ satisfying the following properties:

Symmetry $\Omega_{g,n}$ is symmetric in its n arguments

Gluing The pull-back of $\Omega_{g,n}$ via the gluing map

$$\overline{M}_{g_1,n_1+1} \times \overline{M}_{g_2,n_2+1} \to \overline{M}_{g,n}$$

is given by the direct product of Ω_{g_1,n_2+1} and Ω_{g_2,n_2+1} with the bivector η^{-1} inserted at the two gluing points. Similarly for the gluing map $\overline{M}_{g-1,n+2} \to \overline{M}_{g,n}$ the pull-back of $\Omega_{g,n}$ is given by $\Omega_{g-1,n+2}$ with η^{-1} inserted at the two gluing points.

Unit There is a special element $\mathbf{1} \in V$ called the *unit* such that

$$\Omega_{g,n+1}(v_1, \ldots, v_n, \mathbf{1})$$

is the pull-back of $\Omega_{g,n}(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ under the forgetful map and

$$\Omega_{0,3}(v, w, \mathbf{1}) = \eta(v, w).$$

Definition 2. The quantum product $(u, v) \mapsto uv$ on V with unit $\mathbf{1}$ is defined by the condition

$$\eta(uv, w) = \Omega_{0,3}(u, v, w). \tag{1}$$

Definition 3. A CohFT is called semisimple if there is a base extension $A \to B$ such that the algebra $V \otimes_A B$ is semisimple.

2.2 First Examples

Example 1. For each Frobenius algebra there is the *trivial CohFT* (also called *topological field theory* or TQFT) $\Omega_{g,n}$ characterized by (1) and that

$$\Omega_{g,n} \in A^0(\overline{M}_{g,n}) \otimes (V^*)^{\otimes n}.$$

Let us record an explicit formula for Appendix A: In the case that the Frobenius algebra is semisimple, there is a basis ϵ_i of orthogonal idempotents of V and

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_i = \frac{\epsilon_i}{\sqrt{\Delta_i}},$$

where $\Delta_i^{-1} = \eta(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_i)$, is a corresponding orthonormal basis of *normalized idempotents*. We have

$$\Omega_{g,n}(\tilde{\epsilon}_{i_1}, \ldots, \tilde{\epsilon}_{i_n}) = \begin{cases} \sum_j \Delta_{i_j}^{g-1}, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \Delta_{i_1}^{\frac{2g-2+n}{2}}, & \text{if } i_1 = \cdots = i_n, \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Example 2. The Chern polynomial $c_t(\mathbb{E})$ of the Hodge bundle $\mathbb{E}$ gives a 1-dimensional CohFT over $\mathbb{Q}[t]$.

Example 3. Let X be a smooth, projective variety such that the cycle class map gives an isomorphism between Chow and cohomology rings. Let $A = \mathbb{Q}[\![q^\beta]\!]$ be its Novikov ring. Then the Gromov-Witten theory of X defines a CohFT based on the A-module $A^*(X) \otimes A$ by the definition

$$\Omega_{g,n}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \sum_\beta \pi_* \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \mathrm{ev}_i^*(v_i) \cap [\overline{M}_{g,n}(X, \beta)]^{vir} \right) q^\beta,$$

where the sum ranges over effective, integral curve classes, ev_i is the i-th evaluation map and π is the forgetful map $\pi : \overline{M}_{g,n}(X, \beta) \to \overline{M}_{g,n}$. The gluing property follows from the splitting axiom of virtual fundamental classes. The fundamental class of X is the unit of the CohFT and the unit axioms follow from the identity axiom in GW-theory.

For a torus action on X, this example can be enhanced to give a CohFT from the equivariant GW-theory of X.

2.3 The R-matrix action

Definition 4. The (upper part of the) symplectic loop group is defined as the subgroup of the group of endomorphism valued power series $R = 1 + O(z)$ in z satisfying the symplectic condition

$$\eta(R(z)v, R(-z)w) = \eta(v, w)$$

for all vectors v and w.

An action of this group on the space of CohFTs makes it interesting for us. In its definition the endomorphism valued power series R is evaluated at cotangent line classes and applied to vectors.

Given a CohFT $\Omega_{g,n}$ the new CohFT $R\Omega_{g,n}$ takes the form of a sum over dual graphs Γ

$$R\Omega_{g,n}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \sum_\Gamma \frac{1}{\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)} \xi_* \left(\prod_v \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{k!} \varepsilon_* \Omega_{g_v, n_v+k}(\dots) \right),$$

where $\xi : \prod_v \overline{M}_{g_v,n_v} \to \overline{M}_{g,n}$ is the gluing map of curves of topological type Γ from their irreducible components, $\varepsilon : \overline{M}_{g_v,n_v+k} \to \overline{M}_{g_v,n_v}$ forgets the last k markings and we still need to specify what is put into the arguments of $\prod_v \Omega_{g_v,n_v+k_v}$.

- Into each argument corresponding to a marking of the curve, put $R^{-1}(\psi)$ applied to the corresponding vector.
- Into each pair of arguments corresponding to an edge put the bivector

  $$\frac{R^{-1}(\psi_1)\eta^{-1}R^{-1}(\psi_2)^t - \eta^{-1}}{-\psi_1 - \psi_2} \in \mathrm{Hom}(V^*, V)[\![\psi_1, \psi_2]\!] \cong V^{\otimes 2}[\![\psi_1, \psi_2]\!],$$

  where one has to substitute the ψ-classes at each side of the normalization of the node for ψ_1 and ψ_2. By the symplectic condition this is well-defined.

- Into each of the additional arguments for each vertex put

$$T(\psi) := \psi(1 - R^{-1}(\psi))\mathbf{1},$$

where ψ is the cotangent line class corresponding to that vertex. Since $T(z) = O(z^2)$ the above k-sum is finite.

Reconstruction Conjecture (Givental)**.** *The R-matrix action is free and transitive on the space of semisimple CohFTs based on a given Frobenius algebra.*

Theorem 3 (Givental[3])**.** *Reconstruction for the equivariant GW-theory of toric targets holds in Chow.*

Theorem 4 (Teleman[16])**.** *Reconstruction holds in cohomology.*

Remark 1. Givental's original conjecture was only stated in terms of the descendent integrals of the CohFT and there is no explicit proof of Theorem 3 in the literature. Therefore in Appendix A we recall the well-known lift of Givental's proof to CohFTs.

Example 4. By Mumford's Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch calculation [11] the single entry of the R-matrix taking the trivial one-dimensional CohFT to the CohFT from Example 2 is given by

$$\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2i}}{2i(2i-1)}(tz)^{2i-1}\right),$$

where B_{2i} are the Bernoulli numbers, defined by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_i \frac{x^i}{i!} = \frac{x}{e^x - 1}.$$

More generally, if we consider a more general CohFT given by a product of Chern polynomials (in different variables) of the Hodge bundle, the R-matrix from the trivial CohFT is the product of the R-matrices of the factors.

2.4 Frobenius manifolds and the quantum differential equation

There is a natural way to deform a CohFT $\Omega_{g,n}$ on V over A to a CohFT over $A[\![V]\!]$. For a basis $\{e_\mu\}$ of V let

$$p = \sum t^\mu e_\mu$$

be a formal point on V. Then the deformed CohFT is given by

$$\Omega^p_{g,n}(v_1, \ldots, v_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \pi_* \Omega_{g,n+k}(v_1, \ldots, v_n, p, \ldots, p).$$

Notice that the deformation is constant in the direction of the unit.

The quantum product on the deformed CohFT gives V the structure of a (formal) *Frobenius manifold* [1]. The e_μ induce flat vector fields on V

corresponding to the *flat coordinates* t^μ. Greek indices will stand for flat coordinates with an exception stated in Section 2.5.

A Frobenius manifold is called *conformal* if it admits an *Euler vector field*, i.e. a vector field E of the form

$$E = \sum_\mu (\alpha_\mu t^\mu + \beta_\mu) \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\mu},$$

such that the quantum product, the unit and the metric are eigenfunctions of the Lie derivative L_E with eigenvalues 1, -1 and $2-\delta$ respectively. Here δ is a rational number called conformal dimension. Assuming that A itself is the ring of (formal) functions of a variety X we say that the Frobenius manifold is *quasi-conformal* if there is vector field E on $X \times V$ satisfying the axioms of an Euler vector field.

A CohFT $\Omega_{g,n}$ is called *homogeneous* (*quasi-homogeneous*) if its Frobenius manifold is conformal (quasi-conformal) and the extended CohFT is an eigenvector of of L_E of eigenvalue $(g-1)\delta + n$. As the name suggests CohFTs are homogeneous if they carry a grading such that all natural structures are homogeneous with respect to the grading.

We say that the Frobenius manifold V is *semisimple* if there is a basis of idempotent vector fields ϵ_i defined after possible base extension of A. The idempotents can be formally integrated to *canonical coordinates* u_i. We will use roman indices for them. Let $\mathbf{u}$ be the diagonal matrix with entries u_i and Ψ be the transition matrix from the basis of normalized idempotents corresponding to the u_i to the flat basis e_i.

The R-matrix from the trivial theory to Ω^p satisfies a differential equation which is related to the *quantum differential equation*

$$z \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\alpha} S_j = e_\alpha \star S_j$$

for vectors S_j. We assemble the S_j into a matrix S.

Proposition 1 (see [4])**.** *If V is semisimple and after a choice of canonical coordinates u_i has been made, there exists a fundamental solution S to the quantum differential equation of the form*

$$S = \Psi R e^{\mathbf{u}/z}, \tag{2}$$

such that R satisfies the symplectic condition $R(z)R^t(-z) = 1$. The matrix R is unique up to right multiplication by a diagonal matrix of the form

$$\exp(a_1 z + a_3 z^3 + a_5 z^5 + \cdots)$$

for constant diagonal matrices a_i.

In the case that there exists an Euler vector field E, there is a unique matrix R defined from a fundamental solution S by (2) *which satisfies the homogeneity*

$$z \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z} R + L_E R = 0.$$

Such an R automatically satisfies the symplectic condition.

Remark 2. The matrix R should be thought as the matrix representation of an endomorphism in the basis of normalized idempotents. The symplectic condition in Proposition 1 is then the same as in Definition 4.

Remark 3. The exponential in (2) has to be thought as a formal expression. All the quantities in Proposition 1 are only defined after base change of A necessary to define the canonical coordinates.

Remark 4. The quantum differential equation is equivalent to the differential equation

$$[R, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{u}] + z\Psi^{-1}\mathrm{d}(\Psi R) = 0 \tag{3}$$

for R.

In the conformal case Teleman showed that the uniquely determined homogeneous R-matrix of Proposition 1 is the one appearing in the reconstruction, taking the trivial theory to the given one.

Equivariant projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^m$ only give a quasi-conformal Frobenius manifold. However Givental showed, and we will recall the proof in Appendix A, that in this case in the reconstruction one should take R such that in the classical limit $q \to 0$ it assumes the diagonal form

$$R|_{q=0} = \exp(\mathrm{diag}(b_0, \ldots, b_m)), \tag{4}$$

where, using the notation from Section 2.5,

$$b_j = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2i}}{2i(2i-1)} \sum_{l \neq j} \left(\frac{z}{\lambda_l - \lambda_j} \right)^{2i-1}.$$

The R-matrix is uniquely determined by this additional property and the homogeneity.

2.5 The two CohFTs

The cohomological field theory corresponding to the A_{m+1}-singularity $f(X) = X^{m+2}/(m+2)$ is defined using Witten's $(m+2)$-spin class on the moduli of curves with $(m+2)$-spin structures. See [13] for a discussion of different constructions of Witten's class. In comparison to [13] we use a different normalization for Witten's class and a different basis for the free module in order to have a more direct comparison to the $\mathbb{P}^m$-theory.

The CohFT is based on the rank $(m+1)$ free module of versal deformations

$$f_t(X) = \frac{X^{m+2}}{m+2} + t^m X^m + \cdots + t^1 X + t^0$$

of f. In this article, using the deformation from Section 2.4, we will view the CohFT as being based on

$$k_{A_{m+1}} = \mathbb{Q}[t^1, \ldots, t^m],$$

the space of regular functions on the Frobenius manifold where the t^0-coordinate vanishes. Because of dimension constraints we do not need to look at formal functions, and because the CohFT stays constant along the t^0 direction we can restrict to the $(t^0 = 0)$-subspace.

The algebra structure is given by $k_{A_{m+1}}[X]/(f_t')$, where X^μ corresponds to $\frac{\partial}{\partial t^\mu}$. The metric is given by the residue pairing

$$\eta(a, b) = \frac{1}{2\pi\imath} \oint \frac{ab}{f_t'(X)} \mathrm{d}X.$$

Written as a matrix in the basis $1, \dots, X^m$, the metric η has therefore zeros above the antidiagonal, ones at the antidiagonal and again zeros in the first antidiagonal below it. Notice also that η has no dependence on t^1. Therefore, while the t^μ do not give a basis of flat vector fields on the Frobenius manifold, there is a triangular matrix independent of t^1, sending the $1, \dots, X^m$ to a basis of flat vector fields such that X is mapped to itself. With this we can pretend that the t^μ were flat coordinates if we consider in the quantum differential equation only differentiation by t^1.

For $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+1}$-equivariant $\mathbb{P}^m$ the CohFT is based on the equivariant Chow ring

$$A^*_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+1}}(\mathbb{P}^m)[\![q]\!] \cong k_{\mathbb{P}^m}[H]/\prod_{i=0}^{m}(H-\lambda_i),$$

of $\mathbb{P}^m$, an $(m+1)$-dimensional free module over

$$k_{\mathbb{P}^m} = \mathbb{Q}[\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_m][\![q]\!],$$

and depends on the Novikov variable q and the torus parameters λ_i. We will not consider the deformation from Section 2.4. The algebra structure is given by the small quantum equivariant Chow ring

$$QA^*_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+1}}(\mathbb{P}^m) \cong k_{\mathbb{P}^m}[H]/\left(\prod_{i=0}^{m}(H-\lambda_i) - q\right)$$

and the pairing is the Poincaré pairing

$$\eta(a,b) = \frac{1}{2\pi\imath}\oint \frac{ab}{\prod_{i=0}^{m}(H-\lambda_i)}\mathrm{d}H$$

in the equivariant Chow ring.

To match up this data we set

$$\begin{aligned} X =& H - \bar{\lambda}, \\ X^{m+1} + \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1}(\mu+1)t^{\mu+1}X^\mu =& \prod_{i=0}^{m}(X+\bar{\lambda}-\lambda_i) - q, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\bar{\lambda} = \sum_{i=0}^{m}\frac{\lambda_i}{m+1}.$$

So in particular

$$t^1 = -q + \prod_{i=0}^{m}(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda_i) =: -q - \lambda$$

and we have described a ring map

$$\Phi : k_{A_{m+1}}[\lambda] \to k_{\mathbb{P}^m},$$

whose image are the polynomials, symmetric in the torus parameters and vanishing if all torus parameters coincide. Therefore, after base extension, the Frobenius algebras from the A_{m+1}-singularity and equivariant $\mathbb{P}^m$ match completely up.

On the $\mathbb{P}^m$-side, let Q_i be the power series solution to

$$\prod_{i=0}^{m}(Y+\bar{\lambda}-\lambda_i)=q$$

with limit $\lambda_i-\bar{\lambda}$ as $q\to 0$. In particular, the Q_i are solutions to

$$Y^{m+1}+\sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1}(\mu+1)t^{\mu+1}Y^{\mu}.$$

On the A_{m+1}-side, let the Q_i be the solutions to this equation in any order. On both sides we can then define

$$\Delta_i=\prod_{j\neq i}(Q_i-Q_j)=(m+1)Q_i^m-\sum_{\mu=1}^{m-1}(\mu+1)\mu t^{\mu+1}Q_i^{\mu-1}$$

and the *discriminant*

$$\mathrm{disc}=\prod_i\Delta_i\in k_{A_{m+1}}.$$

The choice of the Q_i gives a bijection between the idempotents

$$\epsilon_i=\frac{\prod_{j\neq i}(X-Q_j)}{\Delta_i}.$$

We will also need to make a choice of square roots of the Δ_i to be able to define the normalized idempotents

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_i=\frac{\prod_{j\neq i}(X-Q_j)}{\sqrt{\Delta_i}}.$$

The A_{m+1}-theory is conformal with Euler vector field

$$E=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\frac{m+2-i}{m+2}t^{\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\mu}},$$

while the equivariant $\mathbb{P}^m$-theory is semi-conformal with Euler vector field

$$E=(m+1)q\frac{\partial}{\partial q}+\sum_{i=0}^{m}\lambda_i\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_i}.$$

2.6 Relations from CohFTs

Let Ω be a semisimple CohFT defined on V over A. Formal properties of the reconstruction theorem will imply tautological relations. The main point is that the R-matrix from the trivial theory written in flat coordinates lives only in

$$\mathrm{End}(V\otimes_A B)[\![z]\!],$$

for some $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra extension B[1] of A. Let C be the A-module quotient fitting into the exact sequence

$$0\to A\to B\xrightarrow{p}C\to 0. \tag{5}$$

[1] In our examples $B=A[\mathrm{disc}^{-1}]$.

The reconstruction gives elements

$$\overline{\Omega}_{g,n} \in \mathcal{S}_{g,n} \otimes (V^*)^{\otimes n} \otimes B.$$

However since we have started out with a CohFT defined over A, we know that the projection of

$$p(\overline{\Omega}_{g,n}) \in \mathcal{S}_{g,n} \otimes (V^*)^{\otimes n} \otimes C$$

to $R^*(\overline{M}_{g,n}) \otimes (V^*)^{\otimes n} \otimes C$ has to vanish[2]. Since C is a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space, we obtain a system of vector spaces $T^{\Omega}_{g,n}$ of relations. The complete system $\bar{T}^{\Omega}_{g,n}$ of *tautological relations obtained from the CohFT* Ω is the vector space generated by

$$\xi_*(\pi_*(T^{\Omega}_{g_1,n_1+m}P) \times \mathcal{S}_{g_2,n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_{g_k,n_k}),$$

where P is the vector space of polynomials in ψ-classes, and ξ_* and π_* are the formal analogues of the push-forwards along gluing and forgetful maps.

We say that a vector space of tautological relations $T_{g,n}$ implies another $T'_{g,n}$ if the vector space, obtained from $T_{g,n}$ by the completion process as described right above, is contained in $T'_{g,n}$. Using this definition we can also define an equivalence relation between vector spaces of tautological relations.

Let us describe two relation preserving actions on the space of all CohFTs on V over A. The first is an action of the multiplicative monoid of A. The action of $\varphi \in A$ is given by multiplication by φ^d in codimension d. This replaces the R-matrix $R(z)$ of the theory by $R(\varphi z)$. Since multiplication by φ is well-defined in C, relations are preserved. The second action is the action of an R-matrix defined over A.

The second action automatically proves equivalence of relations since R-matrices are always invertible. Similarly, the first action proves equivalence if φ is invertible.

Extending scalars also preserves relations. By this we mean tensoring Ω with $A \to A'$ under the condition that this preserves the exactness of the sequence (5). We call the special case when $A' = A/I$ for some ideal I of A a *limit*. If $C \to C \otimes_A A'$ is injective, extending scalars proves an equivalence of relations.

Let us again state our now well-defined results.

Theorem 1. *The relations from the equivariant Gromov-Witten theory of* $\mathbb{P}^m$ *imply the* $(m+2)$*-spin relations, both CohFTs as defined in Section 2.5.*

The main statement necessary to be proven here is that the R-matrix for $\mathbb{P}^m$ after replacing $z \mapsto z\lambda^{-1}$ admits the limit $\lambda^{-1} \to 0$ and that this limit is the R-matrix for the A_{m+1}-theory. In order for this to make sense, one uses the matchup from Section 2.5 and views both as being defined over

$$\mathbb{Q}[\![\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_m, q]\!][\lambda^{-1}]$$

In Section 3 we will see that for both original theories to define the R-matrix it is enough to localize by disc. So the extension of scalars does not lose relations.

[2] Assuming that reconstruction holds in this case.

Motivated from Section 3.1 let us call the limit $t^2, \dots, t^m = 0$ the *Airy limit*. For $\mathbb{P}^m$ the Airy limit concretely means, assuming the sum of all torus weights is zero, that we restrict ourselves to the case that up to a factor the torus weights are the $(m+1)$-th roots of unity.

Theorem 2. *In the Airy limit the $\mathbb{P}^m$- and $(m+2)$-spin relations are equivalent.*

The main point for the proof is to show there is a series

$$\varphi \in \lambda \mathbb{Q}[\![t^1 \lambda^{-1}]\!],$$

and an R-matrix R without poles in disc such that the Airy limit $\mathbb{P}^m$-R-matrix is obtained from the Airy limit A_{m+1}-R-matrix by applying the transformation $z \mapsto z\varphi$, followed by the action of R. We will show in the proof that there is only one possible choice for φ. For Theorem 2 both theories can be viewed as living over

$$\mathbb{Q}[\![\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_m, q, t^1 \lambda^{-1}]\!][\lambda^{-1}]/(t^1 + q + \lambda, t^2, \dots, t^m).$$

In Section 6 we will give evidence that the method of proof of Theorem 2 does not work outside the Airy limit. What we will show is that assuming a procedure as in the proof of Theorem 2 exists and is well-defined in the Airy limit, the information that φ was unique in the limit implies that the R-matrix in the R-matrix action cannot be defined over the base ring.

Relations from degree vanishing

The more classical way of [13] and [14] to obtain tautological relations works by considering cohomological degrees: Assume that Ω is in addition quasi-homogenous for an Euler vector field E and that all β_i vanish and all α_i are positive. Then the quasi-homogeneity implies that the cohomological degree of $\Omega_{g,n}(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^{i_1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{i_n}})$ is bounded by

$$(g-1)\delta + n - \sum_j \alpha_{i_j}.$$

However the reconstructed theory might also contain terms of higher cohomological degree. These thus have to vanish, giving tautological relations.

Notice that these relations coming from degree considerations are implied from the relations we have described previously: With respect to the grading on B induced by the Euler vector field, no element of A has negative degree. Therefore the negative degree parts of B and C are isomorphic. Thus, the homogeneity of the CohFT implies that the degree vanishing relations are obtained from the previous relations by restricting to the negative degree part of C.

The way of obtaining tautological relations by looking at poles in the discriminant has already previously been studied by D. Zvonkine.

3 Oscillating integrals

3.1 For the A_{m+1}-singularity

We want to describe the A_{m+1}-R-matrix in terms of asymptotics of oscillating integrals. For the purposes of this article the integrals can be treated as purely formal objects.

The quantum differential equation with one index lowered says that

$$\begin{aligned} z\frac{\partial}{\partial t^1}S_{\mu k} =& S_{(\mu+1)k}, && \text{for } \mu < m, \\ z\frac{\partial}{\partial t^1}S_{mk} =& -\sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1}(\mu+1)t^{\mu+1}S_{\mu k}, \end{aligned}$$

where the Greek indices stand for components in the basis of the X^μ. It is not difficult to see that the oscillating integrals

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-2\pi z}}\int_{\Gamma_k} x^\mu \exp(f_t(x)/z)\mathrm{d}x,$$

where f_t as before is the deformed singularity, for varying cycles Γ_k, if convergent, provide solutions to this system of differential equations, and also satisfy homogeneity with respect to the Euler vector field.

For generic choices of parameters, to each critical point Q_k there corresponds a cycle Γ_k constructed via the Morse theory of $\Re(f_t(x)/z)$, which moves through that critical point in the direction of steepest descent and avoids all other critical points. By moving to the critical point and scaling coordinates we obtain

$$S_{\mu k} = \frac{e^{u_k/z}}{\sqrt{2\pi\Delta_k}}\int \left(\frac{x(-z)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{\Delta_k}} + Q_k\right)^\mu \exp\left(-\sum_{l=2}^{m+2}\frac{x^l(-z)^{(l-2)/2}}{l!}\frac{f_t^{(l)}(Q_k)}{\Delta_k^{l/2}}\right)\mathrm{d}x,$$

where $u_k = f_t(Q_k)$. By the method of steepest descend, we obtain the asymptotics as $z \to 0$ by expanding the integrand as a formal power series in z and integrating from $-\infty$ to ∞. Since the $(l=2)$-term in the sum is $-x^2/2$, we can use the formula for the moments of the Gaussian distribution to write the asymptotics of $\sqrt{\Delta_k}e^{-u_k/z}S_{\mu k}$ as a formal power series in z with values in $k_{A_{m+1}}[Q_k, \Delta_k^{-1}]$.

The entries of the R-matrix are then given by

$$R_{ik} \asymp \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_i}}e^{-u_k/z}\prod_{j\neq i}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^1} - Q_j\right)S_{0k}.$$

Noticing that the change of basis from normalized idempotents to the basis $1, X, \ldots, X^m$ can be defined over $k_{A_{m+1}}[Q_k, \Delta_k^{-1/2}]$, recalling that $\mathrm{disc} = \prod \Delta_i$ and applying Galois theory, we see that the endomorphism R is defined over $k_{A_{m+1}}[\mathrm{disc}^{-1}]$.

In the Airy limit $t^2, \dots, t^m \to 0$ the quantum differential equation becomes the slightly modified higher Airy differential equation [9]

$$\left(z\frac{\partial}{\partial t^1}\right)^{m+1} S_{0k} = -t^1 S_{0k}.$$

The entries of the R-matrix in this case are therefore related to the asymptotic expansions of the higher Airy functions and their derivatives when their complex argument approaches ∞.

In the case of the A_2-singularity we do not need to take any limit and discover the hypergeometric series A and B of Faber-Zagier in the expansions of the (slightly modified) usual Airy function

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{e^{\frac{2}{3}(t^1)^{3/2}/z}}{\sqrt{-2\pi z}} \int_{\Gamma_k} e^{\left(\frac{x^3}{3}+t^1 x\right)/z} \mathrm{d}x &\asymp \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\Delta}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{x^3}{3}\frac{\sqrt{-z}}{\Delta^{3/2}}\right) \mathrm{d}x \\ &\asymp \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(6i-1)!!}{(2i)!} \left(\frac{-z}{9\Delta^3}\right)^i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(6i)!}{(3i)!(2i)!} \left(\frac{-z}{72\Delta^3}\right)^i\end{aligned}$$

and a derivative of it

$$\frac{e^{\frac{2}{3}(t^1)^{3/2}/z}}{\sqrt{-2\pi z}} \int_{\Gamma_k} x e^{\left(\frac{x^3}{3}+t^1 x\right)/z} \mathrm{d}x \asymp \frac{\sqrt{-t^1}}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(6i)!}{(3i)!(2i)!} \frac{1+6i}{1-6i} \left(\frac{-z}{72\Delta^3}\right)^i.$$

Here $\Delta = 2\sqrt{-t^1}$. The cycle Γ_k determines which square-root of $(-t^1)$ we take.

3.2 For equivariant $\mathbb{P}^m$

Givental [3] has given explicit solutions to the quantum differential equation for projective spaces in the form of complex oscillating integrals. Let us recall their definition and see how they behave in the match up with the $(m+2)$-spin theory.

Using the divisor axiom of Gromov-Witten invariants, the quantum differential equation implies the differential equations

$$(D + \lambda_i) S_i = H \star S_i.$$

for the fundamental solutions S_i at the origin. Here we have written $D = zq\frac{\partial}{\partial q}$. Equivalently, the equation says

$$D(S_i e^{\ln(q)\lambda_i/z}) = H \star S_i e^{\ln(q)\lambda_i/z}.$$

Therefore the entries of S with one index lowered satisfy

$$(D - \bar{\lambda})(S_{\mu i} e^{\ln(q)\lambda_i/z}) = S_{(\mu+1)i} e^{\ln(q)\lambda_i/z},$$

where $S_{(m+1)i}$ is defined such that

$$\prod_{j=0}^{m} (D - \lambda_j)(S_{0i} e^{\ln(q)\lambda_i/z}) = q S_{0i} e^{\ln(q)\lambda_i/z}.$$

The Greek indices stand for the basis of flat vector fields corresponding to $1, H-\bar{\lambda}, \ldots, (H-\bar{\lambda})^m$.

Givental's oscillating integral solutions for S_{0i} are stationary phase expansions of the integrals

$$S_{0i} e^{\ln(q)\lambda_i/z} = (-2\pi z)^{-m/2} \int\limits_{\Gamma_i \subset \{\sum T_j = \ln q\}} e^{F_i(T)/z} \omega$$

along m-cycles Γ_i through a specific critical point of $F_i(T)$ inside a m-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{m+1}$, where

$$F_i(T) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} (e^{T_j} + \lambda_j T_j).$$

The form ω is the restriction of $\mathrm{d}T_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}T_m$. To see that the integrals are actual solutions, notice that applying $D - \lambda_j$ to the integral has the same effect as multiplying the integrand by e^{T_j}.

There are $m+1$ possible critical points at which one can do a stationary phase expansion of S_{0i}. Let us write $P_i = Q_i + \bar{\lambda}$ for the solution to

$$\prod_{i=0}^{m} (X - \lambda_i) = q$$

with limit λ_i as $q \to 0$. For each i we need to choose the critical point $e^{T_j} = P_i - \lambda_j$ in order for the factor

$$\exp(u_i/z) := \exp\left(\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} (P_i - \lambda_j + \lambda_j \ln(P_i - \lambda_j)) - \lambda_i \ln(q) \right) / z \right)$$

of S_{0i} to be well-defined in the limit $q \to 0$. Shifting the integral to the critical point and scaling coordinates by $\sqrt{-z}$ we find

$$S_{0i} = e^{u_i/z} \int \exp\left(- \sum_j (Q_i - \bar{\lambda}_j) \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{T_j^k (-z)^{(k-2)/2}}{k!} \right) \mathrm{d}\mu_i$$

for the conditional Gaussian distribution

$$\mathrm{d}\mu_i = (2\pi)^{-m/2} \exp\left(- \sum_j (Q_i - \bar{\lambda}_j) \frac{T_j^2}{2} \right) \omega.$$

The covariance matrices are given by

$$\sigma_i(T_k, T_l) = \frac{1}{\Delta_i} \begin{cases} -\prod_{j \notin \{k,l\}} (Q_i - \bar{\lambda}_j), & \text{for } k \neq l, \\ \sum_{m \neq k} \prod_{j \notin \{k,m\}} (Q_i - \bar{\lambda}_j), & \text{for } k = l. \end{cases}$$

From here we can see that the integral is symmetric in the $\bar{\lambda}_j$ and therefore we can write its asymptotics as $z \to 0$ completely in terms of data from A_{m+1}. Since odd moments of Gaussian distributions vanish we find that $e^{-u_i/z} S_{0i}$ is a power series in z with values in $\Delta_i^{-1/2} k_{A_{m+1}}[Q_i, \Delta_i^{-1}, \lambda]$.

So the entries of the R-matrix in the basis of normalized idempotents are given by

$$\Delta_k^{-1/2} \prod_{j \neq k} (D + \lambda_i - P_j) \ (e^{-u_i/z} S_{0i}).$$

Since $\frac{\mathrm{d}P_i}{\mathrm{d}q} = \frac{1}{\Delta_i}$ these entries are in

$$k_{A_{m+1}}[Q_0, \ldots, Q_m, \Delta_0^{-1/2}, \ldots, \Delta_m^{-1/2}, \lambda].$$

So, with the arguments from Section 3.1, the endomorphism R can be defined over $k_{\mathbb{P}^m}[\mathrm{disc}^{-1}]$.

We need to check that the R-matrix given in terms of oscillating integrals behaves correctly in the limit $q \to 0$. By definition, in this limit $P_i \to \lambda_i$. By symmetry it is enough to consider the 0-th column. Set $x_i = e^{T_i}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{q\to 0} R_{j0} &\asymp \lim_{q\to 0} e^{-u_0/z} \Delta_0^{-1/2} \prod_{k\neq j} (zq\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}q} + \lambda_j - \lambda_k) \ S_{00} \\
&= \lim_{q\to 0} \frac{e^{-u_0/z}}{\sqrt{\Delta_0}(-2\pi z)^{m/2}} \int e^{(\sum_k (e^{T_k} - (\lambda_0 - \lambda_k)T_k))/z + \sum_{k\neq j} T_k} \omega \\
&= \lim_{q\to 0} \frac{e^{-u_0/z}}{\sqrt{\Delta_0}(-2\pi z)^{m/2}} \int e^{(\sum_{k\neq 0} (x_k - (\lambda_0 - \lambda_k)T_k) + \frac{q}{\prod_{k\neq 0} x_k})/z} \prod_{k\neq j} x_j \bigwedge_{k=1}^m \mathrm{d}T_k.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last step we have moved to the chart

$$x_0 = \frac{q}{\prod_{j\neq 0} x_j}.$$

Since in this chart $\lim_{q\to 0} x_0 = 0$, we have that R_{j0} vanishes unless $j = 0$. On the other hand in the limit $q \to 0$ the integral for R_{00} splits into one-dimensional integrals

$$\lim_{q\to 0} R_{00} \asymp \lim_{q\to 0} \frac{e^{-u_0/z}}{\sqrt{\Delta_0}(-2\pi z)^{m/2}} \prod_{k\neq 0} \int_0^\infty e^{(x - (\lambda_0 - \lambda_k)\ln(x))/z} \mathrm{d}x.$$

Let us temporarily set $z_k = -z/(\lambda_0 - \lambda_k)$. The prefactors also split into pieces in the limit and we calculate the factor corresponding to k to be

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{e^{(1-\ln(\lambda_0-\lambda_k))/z_k}}{\sqrt{-2\pi z(\lambda_0 - \lambda_k)}} \int_0^\infty e^{(x-(\lambda_0-\lambda_k)\ln(x))/z} \mathrm{d}x = \frac{e^{(1-\ln(1/z_k))/z_k}}{\sqrt{2\pi/z_k}} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{z_k}\right) \\
&= \frac{e^{(1-\ln(1/z_k))/z_k}}{\sqrt{2\pi z_k}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{z_k}\right) \asymp \exp\left(\sum_{l=1}^\infty \frac{B_{2l}}{2l(2l-1)} \left(\frac{z}{\lambda_k - \lambda_0}\right)^{2l-1}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

using Stirling's approximation of the gamma function in the last step. So the product of the factors gives the expected limit (4) of R_{00} for $q \to 0$. This calculation gives a proof for the results [7] of Ionel on the main generating function used in [12] and [8] without having to use Harer's stability results.

4 $\mathbb{P}^m$ relations imply $(m+2)$-spin relations

We prove Theorem 1 in this section. As already mentioned, for this it is enough to show that, after the change $z \mapsto z\lambda^{-1}$, the $\mathbb{P}^m$-R-matrix converges to the A_{m+1}-R-matrix in the limit $\lambda \to \infty$. For this we have to compare the differential equations satisfied by the R-matrices.

Inserting the vector field corresponding to the hyperplane into (3) and using the divisor equation as in Section 3.2 gives the equation

$$[R_{\mathbb{P}^m}, \xi] + zq\frac{\mathrm{d}R_{\mathbb{P}^m}}{\mathrm{d}q} + zq\Psi^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\Psi}{\mathrm{d}q}R_{\mathbb{P}^m} = 0, \tag{6}$$

where ξ denotes the diagonal matrix of quantum multiplication by $H - \bar{\lambda}$.

Lemma 1. *$R_{\mathbb{P}^m}(z/\lambda)$ admits a limit R for $\lambda \to \infty$. The matrix R satisfies*

$$[R, \xi] + z\frac{\mathrm{d}R}{\mathrm{d}t^1} + z\Psi^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\Psi}{\mathrm{d}t^1}R = 0$$
$$z\frac{\mathrm{d}R}{\mathrm{d}z} + \sum_{\mu=1}^{m}\frac{m+2-\mu}{m+2}t^\mu\frac{\mathrm{d}R}{\mathrm{d}t^\mu} = 0$$

Proof. The $\mathbb{P}^m$-R-matrix satisfies the homogeneity property

$$z\frac{\mathrm{d}R_{\mathbb{P}^m}}{\mathrm{d}z} + (m+1)q\frac{\mathrm{d}R_{\mathbb{P}^m}}{\mathrm{d}q} + \sum_{i=0}^{m}\lambda_i\frac{\mathrm{d}R_{\mathbb{P}^m}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda_i} = 0.$$

So $R'(z) := R_{\mathbb{P}^m}(z/\lambda)$ written with the A_{m+1}-variables satisfies

$$(m+2)z\frac{\mathrm{d}R'}{\mathrm{d}z} + (m+1)\lambda\frac{\mathrm{d}R'}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} + \sum_{\mu=1}^{m}(m+2-\mu)t^\mu\frac{\mathrm{d}R'}{\mathrm{d}t^\mu} = 0.$$

The main differential equation satisfied by R' is

$$[R', \xi] + z\left(1 + \frac{t^1}{\lambda}\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}R'}{\mathrm{d}t^1} + z\left(1 + \frac{t^1}{\lambda}\right)\Psi^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\Psi}{\mathrm{d}t^1}R' = 0.$$

From the expression of $R_{\mathbb{P}^m}$ in terms of oscillating integrals we know that the entries of the z^i-part R'_i of R' live in

$$\lambda^{-i}k_{A_{m+1}}[Q_0, \ldots, Q_m, \Delta_0^{-1/2}, \ldots, \Delta_m^{-1/2}, \lambda].$$

To show that the limit exists we need to show that λ occurs in no positive power. We will show this by induction by i. It certainly holds for $R'_0 = 1$. Since ξ is diagonal with pairwise distinct entries Q_j, the z^i-part of the differential equation determines the off-diagonal coefficients of R'_i in terms of R'_{i-1}. Because $\Psi^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\Psi}{\mathrm{d}t^1}$ does not depend on λ, the off-diagonal coefficients of R'_i will admit the limit $\lambda \to \infty$. Since $\Psi^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\Psi}{\mathrm{d}t^1}$ in general vanishes on the diagonal the diagonal coefficient of the z^{i+1}-part of the differential equation determines the diagonal of $\frac{\mathrm{d}R'_i}{\mathrm{d}t^1}$ from the off-diagonal entries of R'_i. Apart from a possible term constant in t^1 we therefore know that also the diagonal entries of R'_i admit the limit.

Let us consider such a possible ambiguity a_i. Since all products of Δ_j have dependence in t^1, the "denominator" of a_i can only be a power of λ

less than i. However then a_i cannot possibly satisfy the homogeneity. By induction therefore the limit R exists. The properties of R easily follow from the corresponding ones of R'. □

By inserting the vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial t^1}$ into (3) and similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 1 one can show the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *The A_{m+1}-R-matrix is uniquely determined from the differential equation*

$$[R_{A_{m+1}}, \xi] + z\frac{\mathrm{d}R_{A_{m+1}}}{\mathrm{d}t^1} + z\Psi^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\Psi}{\mathrm{d}t^1}R_{A_{m+1}} = 0,$$

the homogeneity

$$z\frac{\mathrm{d}R_{A_{m+1}}}{\mathrm{d}z} + \sum_{\mu=1}^{m}\frac{m+2-\mu}{m+2}t^\mu\frac{\mathrm{d}R_{A_{m+1}}}{\mathrm{d}t^\mu} = 0$$

and that the entries of the z-series coefficients of $R_{A_{m+1}}$ should lie in

$$k_{A_{m+1}}[Q_0, \ldots, Q_m, \Delta_0^{-1/2}, \ldots, \Delta_m^{-1/2}].$$

The lemmas imply that the modified $\mathbb{P}^m$-R-matrix contains only non-positive powers of λ and the part constant in λ equals the A_{m+1}-R-matrix. Therefore the A_{m+1}-relations are contained in the modified $\mathbb{P}^m$-relations as the λ^0-part, and we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.

5 Equivalence of relations

We want to give a proof of Theorem 2 in this section. So we will consider the CohFTs in the Airy limit, i.e. with all t^μ but $t := t^1$ set to zero. In this limit the metric becomes $\eta(X^i, X^j) = \delta_{i+j,m}$, the quantum product stays semisimple and the Euler vector field for the A_m-singularity

$$E = \frac{m+1}{m+2}t\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

is a multiple of X.

Rewriting (6) for the $\mathbb{P}^m$-R-matrix $\tilde{R}_{\mathbb{P}^m} = \Psi R_{\mathbb{P}^m}\Psi^{-1}$ written in flat coordinates gives

$$[\tilde{R}_{\mathbb{P}^m}, \xi] - zqL_E\tilde{R}_{\mathbb{P}^m} + zq\tilde{R}_{\mathbb{P}^m}\mu = 0,$$

where ξ is multiplication by E in flat coordinates and $\mu = -(L_E\Psi)\Psi^{-1}$.

We need to find a series φ in t and an R-matrix R sending the modified A_{m+1}-theory to equivariant $\mathbb{P}^m$:

$$\tilde{R}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(z) = R(z)\tilde{R}_{A_{m+1}}(z\varphi).$$

We know that $\tilde{R}_{A_{m+1}}$ satisfies

$$[\tilde{R}_{A_{m+1}}, \xi] + zL_E\tilde{R}_{A_{m+1}} - z\tilde{R}_{A_{m+1}}\mu = 0$$

and the weighted homogeneity condition

$$\left(z\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}+L_E\right)\tilde{R}_{A_{m+1}}+[\mu,\tilde{R}_{A_{m+1}}]=0.$$

Putting these together we find that R must satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}0=[R,\xi]-zqL_ER+zq\frac{L_E\varphi}{\varphi}R\mu\\ +\frac{1}{\varphi}\left(q+\varphi-q\frac{L_E\varphi}{\varphi}\right)R[\tilde{R}_{A_{m+1}}(z\varphi),\xi]\tilde{R}^{-1}_{A_{m+1}}(z\varphi).\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3. *The series $\tilde{R}_{A_{m+1}}\xi\tilde{R}^{-1}_{A_{m+1}}$ is not a polynomial in z.*

Because of the lemma and the homogeneity of $\tilde{R}_{A_{m+1}}$ we see that in order for R to exist in the limit disc $\to 0$ the function φ has to satisfy

$$q+\varphi-q\frac{L_E\varphi}{\varphi}=0$$

or equivalently

$$-q^{-1}=\varphi^{-1}+L_E\varphi^{-1}.$$

There is a unique solution φ^{-1} to this differential equation. Concretely, we have

$$\varphi^{-1}=\lambda^{-1}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{m+2}{m+2+i(m+1)}\left(-\frac{t}{\lambda}\right)^i.$$

Since it is not necessary for the proof of Theorem 2, we will prove Lemma 3 in Section 6.

Let us from now on assume that φ is this solution. Then the differential equation for R spells

$$[R,\xi]-zqL_ER+zq\frac{L_E\varphi}{\varphi}R\mu=0. \tag{7}$$

The following lemma implies that the matrix $\tilde{R}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(z)\tilde{R}^{-1}_{A_{m+1}}(z\varphi)$ does not have any poles in t and this concludes the proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 4. *For any solution $R(z)$ of (7) of the form*

$$R(z)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(R^i_{jk})z^i=1+O(z),$$

for Laurent series R^i_{jk} in t, actually all the R^i_{jk} have to be polynomials.

Proof. The matrices ξ and μ can be explicitly calculated

$$\xi_{jk}=t\frac{m+1}{m+2}\delta_{j,k+1}(-t)^{\delta_{0,j}},\qquad \mu_{jk}=\frac{2j-m}{2(m+2)}\delta_{j,k},$$

where all indices are understood modulo $(m+1)$.

Assume that we have already constructed R^{i-1} and its entries have no negative powers in t. Looking at the z^i-part of (7) gives expressions for $R^i_{j(k+1)}\xi_{(k+1)k}-\xi_{j(j-1)}R^i_{(j-1)k}$ as power series with no poles in t. From

here we see that if we can determine the R^i_{j0} as power series with no poles, then the other entries are given by

$$R^i_{jk} \equiv (-t)^{\delta_{k>j}} R^i_{(j-k)0}$$

modulo terms with no poles in t, determined from R^{i-1}. The exponent $\delta_{k>j}$ is 1 for $k > j$ and 0 otherwise.

From the z^{i+1}-part of (7) we then get expressions with no poles in t for

$$(m+1)t\frac{\mathrm{d}R^i_{j0}}{\mathrm{d}t} + jR^i_{j0},$$

thus determining all R^i_{j0} but R^i_{00} up to a constant. Therefore all the R^i_{jk} are polynomials in t. □

Remark 5. The derivation in this section would have worked the same if q was any other invertible power series in t.

6 Higher dimensions

We would like to show that for $m > 1$ there is no pair of function φ and matrix power series $R(z)$, both well-defined in the limit disc $\to 0$, such that

$$\tilde{R}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(z) = R(z)\tilde{R}_{A_{m+1}}(z\varphi), \tag{8}$$

where again $\tilde{R}_* = \Psi R_* \Psi^{-1}$. We will need to assume that that φ is well-defined in the Airy limit. Then we can use the discussion from Section 5 to derive that φ is of the form

$$\varphi = \lambda + c_0\lambda^0 + c_{-1}\lambda^{-1} + \cdots,$$

where the c_i are independent of λ and c_{-1} in the Airy limit becomes a constant multiple of $(t^1)^2$. For the uniqueness of φ we needed Lemma 3.

Proof of Lemma 3. Recall that we have to show that $P := \tilde{R}_{A_{m+1}}\xi\tilde{R}^{-1}_{A_{m+1}}$ is not a polynomial in z. From the differential equation for $\tilde{R}_{A_{m+1}}$ we obtain a differential equation for P.

$$[P, \xi] = z^2\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}z} - z[P, \mu]$$

By definition we also have the initial condition $P|_{z=0} = \xi$. Write $P = \xi + zP_1 + z^2P_2 + \cdots$. The homogeneity condition for $\tilde{R}_{A_{m+1}}$ implies that the only nonzero entries of P_i are at the $(i-1)$-th diagonal, where by this we mean the entries on j-th row, k-th column such that $k - j \equiv i - 1 \pmod{m+1}$.

Assume we have shown that $P_i \neq 0$ has a nonzero entry on the $(i-1)$-th diagonal row. Recalling the proof of Lemma 4 we see that essentially the differences of two subsequent entries in the i-th diagonal of P_{i+1} are a multiple of an entry on the $(i-1)$-th diagonal of P_i. Since the absolute value of any entry of μ is less than $\frac{1}{2}$, all of these multiples are nonzero. Therefore it is impossible for all entries on the i-th diagonal of P_{i+1} to be zero. The lemma follows by induction. □

To show that there is no suitable intermediate R-matrix R it will be enough to consider the z^1-term of (8). It says

$$\tilde{r}_{\mathbb{P}^m} = r + \varphi \tilde{r}_{A_{m+1}},$$

where r_* stands for the z^1-term of R_*. Since $\tilde{r}_{\mathbb{P}^m}$ has no negative powers in λ, the λ^{-1}-terms on the right hand side have to cancel. However the bottom-left coefficient of $\tilde{r}_{A_{m+1}}$ has a pole in the discriminant. Since for $m > 2$ the coefficient c_{-1} cannot be a multiple of the discriminant for degree reasons, in this case r has to have a pole in the discriminant. Contradiction.

It remains to look at the case $m = 2$. Here it is similarly enough to show that there is one coefficient in the R-matrix with a second order pole in the discriminant in order to derive a contradiction. We look at the coefficient r_{20} calculated from the oscillating integral of Section 3.1. We need to calculate the z^1-coefficient of the asymptotic expansion of

$$\sum_Q \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\Delta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2} - x^3\sqrt{-z}\frac{Q}{\Delta^{3/2}} - \frac{x^4}{4}(-z)\frac{1}{\Delta^2}\right) \mathrm{d}x,$$

where we sum over roots Q of the polynomial defining the singularity and here $\Delta = 3Q^2 + 2t^2$. Expanding the Gaussian integral we find the coefficient to be equal to

$$-\sum_Q \frac{15}{2}\frac{Q^2}{\Delta^4} + \sum_Q \frac{3}{\Delta^3}.$$

It is straightforward to check that the first summand equals

$$-\frac{15}{2}\frac{-2(2t^2)^3 + 27(t^1)^2}{(-4(2t^2)^3 - 27(t^1)^2)^2},$$

whereas the second term has only a first order pole in the discriminant.

A Givental's localization calculation

We want to recall Givental's localization calculation [4], which proves that the CohFT from equivariant $\mathbb{P}^m$ can be obtained from the trivial theory via a specific R-matrix action. We first recall localization in the space of stable maps to $\mathbb{P}^m$ in Section A.1. Next, in Section A.2 we group the localization contributions according to the dual graph of the source curve. We collect identities following from the string and dilaton equation in Section A.3 before applying them to finish the computation in Section A.4.

A.1 Localization in the space of stable maps

Let $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+1}$ act diagonally on $\mathbb{P}^m$. The equivariant Chow ring of a point and $\mathbb{P}^m$ are given by

$$A_T^*(\mathrm{pt}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_m]$$
$$A_T^*(\mathbb{P}^m) \cong \mathbb{Q}[H, \lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_m] / \prod_{i=0}^{m} (H - \lambda_i),$$

where H is a lift of the hyperplane class. Furthermore, let η be the equivariant Poincaré pairing.

There are $m+1$ fixed points $p_0, \ldots, p_m$ for the T-action on $\mathbb{P}^m$. The characters of the action of T on the tangent space $T_{p_i}\mathbb{P}^m$ are given by $\lambda_i - \lambda_j$ for $j \neq i$. Hence the corresponding equivariant Euler class e_i is given by

$$e_i = \prod_{j \neq i} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j).$$

The equivariant class e_i also serves as the inverse of the norms of the equivariant (classical) idempotents

$$\phi_i = e_i^{-1} \prod_{j \neq i} (H - \lambda_j).$$

The virtual localization formula [6] implies that the virtual fundamental class can be split into a sum

$$[\overline{M}_{g,n}(\mathbb{P}^m, d)]_T^{vir} = \sum_X \iota_{X,*} \frac{[X]_T^{vir}}{e_T(N_{X,T}^{vir})}$$

of contributions of fixed loci X. Here $N_{X,T}^{vir}$ denotes the virtual normal bundle of X in $\overline{M}_{g,n}(\mathbb{P}^m, d)$ and e_T the equivariant Euler class. Because of the denominator, the fixed point contributions are only defined after localizing by the elements $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_m$. By studying the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on deformations and obstructions of stable maps, $e_T(N_{X,T}^{vir})$ can be computed explicitly.

The fixed loci can be labeled by certain decorated graphs. These consist of

- a graph (V, E),
- an assignment $\zeta : V \to \{p_0, \ldots, p_m\}$ of fixed points,
- a genus assignment $g : V \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,
- a degree assignment $d : E \to \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,
- an assignment $p : \{1, \ldots n\} \to V$ of marked points,

such that the graph is connected and contains no self-edges, two adjacent vertices are not assigned to the same fixed point and we have

$$g = h^1(\Gamma) + \sum_{v \in V} g(v), \qquad d = \sum_{e \in E} d(e).$$

A vertex $v \in V$ is called stable if $2g(v) - 2 + n(v) > 0$, where $n(v)$ is the number of outgoing edges at v.

The fixed locus corresponding to a graph is characterized by the condition that stable vertices $v \in V$ of the graph correspond to contracted genus $g(v)$ components of the domain curve, and that edges $e \in E$ correspond to multiple covers of degree $d(e)$ of the torus fixed line between two fixed points. Such a fixed locus is isomorphic to a product of moduli spaces of curves

$$\prod_{v \in V} \overline{M}_{g(v),n(v)}$$

up to a finite map.

For a fixed locus X corresponding to a given graph the Euler class $e_T(N_{X,T}^{vir})$ is a product of factors corresponding to the geometry of the graph

$$e_T(N_{X,T}^{vir}) = \prod_{v,\text{ stable}} \frac{e(\mathbb{E}^* \otimes T_{\mathbb{P}^m,\zeta(v)})}{e_{\zeta(v)}} \prod_{\text{nodes}} \frac{e_\zeta}{-\psi_1 - \psi_2} \prod_{\substack{g(v)=0 \\ n(v)=1}} (-\psi_v) \prod_e \text{Contr}_e. \quad (9)$$

In the first product $\mathbb{E}^*$ denotes the dual of the Hodge bundle, $T_{\mathbb{P}^m,\zeta(v)}$ is the tangent space of $\mathbb{P}^m$ at $\zeta(v)$, and all bundles and Euler classes should be considered equivariantly. The second product is over nodes forced onto the domain curve by the graph. They correspond to stable vertices together with an outgoing edge, or vertices v of genus 0 with $n(v) = 2$. With ψ_1 and ψ_2 we denote the (equivariant) cotangent line classes at the two sides of the node. For example, the equivariant cotangent line class ψ at a fixed point p_i on a line mapped with degree d to a fixed line is more explicitly given by

$$-\psi = \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_i}{d},$$

where p_j is the other fixed point on the fixed line. The explicit expressions for the terms in the second line of (9) can be found in [6], but will play no role for us. It is only important that they only depend on local data.

A.2 General procedure

We set W to be $A_T^*(\mathbb{P}^m)$ with all equivariant parameters localized. For $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in W$ the (full) CohFT $\Omega_{g,n}$ from equivariant $\mathbb{P}^m$ is defined by

$$\Omega_{g,n}^p(v_1, \ldots, v_n) = \sum_{d,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^d}{k!} \varepsilon_* \pi_* \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \text{ev}_i^*(v_i) \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+k} \text{ev}_i^*(p) \cap [\overline{M}_{g,n+k}(\mathbb{P}^m, d)]^{vir} \right), \quad (10)$$

where p is a point on the formal Frobenius manifold, ε forgets the last k markings and π forgets the map. We want to calculate the push-forward via virtual localization. In the end we will arrive at the formula of the R-matrix action as described in Section 2.3. In the following we will systematically suppress the dependence on p in the notation.

We start by remarking that for each localization graph for (10) there exists a dual graph of $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ corresponding to the topological type of the stabilization of a generic source curve of that locus. What gets contracted under the stabilization maps are trees of rational curves. There are three types of these unstable trees:

1. those which contain one of the n markings and are connected to a stable component,
2. those which are connected to two stable components and contain none of the n markings and

3. those which are connected to one stable component but contain none of the n markings.

These give rise to series of localization contributions and we want to record those, using the fact that they already occur in genus 0.

Let W' be an abstract free module over the same base ring as W with a basis $w_0, \ldots, w_m$ labeled by the fixed points of the T-action on $\mathbb{P}^m$. The type 1 contributions are recorded by

$$\tilde{R}^{-1} = \sum_i \tilde{R}_i^{-1} w_i \in \mathrm{Hom}(W, W')[\![z]\!],$$

the homomorphism valued power series such that

$$\tilde{R}_i^{-1}(v) = \eta(e_i\phi_i, v) + \sum_{d,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^d}{k!} \sum_{\Gamma \in G^1_{d,k,i}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)} \mathrm{Contr}_\Gamma(v)$$

where $G^1_{d,k,i}$ is the set of localization graphs for $\overline{M}_{0,2+k}(\mathbb{P}^m, d)$ such that the first marking is at a valence 2 vertex at fixed point i and $\mathrm{Contr}_\Gamma(v)$ is the contribution for graph Γ for the integral

$$\int_{\overline{M}_{0,2+k}(\mathbb{P}^m,d)} \frac{e_i}{-z-\psi_1} \mathrm{ev}_2^*(v) \prod_{l=3}^{2+k} \mathrm{ev}_l^*(p).$$

We define the integral in the case $(d,k) = (0,0)$ to be zero and will do likewise for other integrals over non-existing moduli spaces.

The type 2 contributions are recorded by the bivector

$$\tilde{V} = \sum_i \tilde{V}^{ij} w_i \otimes w_j \in W'^{\otimes 2}[\![z,w]\!]$$

which is defined by

$$V^{ij} = \sum_{d,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^d}{k!} \sum_{\Gamma \in G^2_{d,k,i,j}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)} \mathrm{Contr}_\Gamma,$$

where $G^2_{d,k,i,j}$ is the set of localization graphs for $\overline{M}_{0,2+k}(\mathbb{P}^m, d)$ such that the first and second marking are at valence 2 vertices at fixed points i and j, respectively, and Contr_Γ is the contribution for graph Γ for the integral

$$\int_{\overline{M}_{0,2+k}(\mathbb{P}^m,d)} \frac{e_i e_j}{(-z-\psi_1)(-w-\psi_2)} \prod_{l=3}^{2+k} \mathrm{ev}_l^*(p).$$

Finally, the type 3 contribution is a vector

$$\tilde{T} = \sum_i \tilde{T}_i w_i \in W'[\![z]\!]$$

which is defined by

$$\tilde{T}_i = p + \sum_{d,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^d}{k!} \sum_{\Gamma \in G^3_{d,k,i}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)} \mathrm{Contr}_\Gamma$$

where $G^3_{d,k,i}$ is the set of localization graphs for $\overline{M}_{0,1+k}(\mathbb{P}^m, d)$ such that the first marking is at a valence 2 vertex at fixed point i and $\mathrm{Contr}_\Gamma(v)$ is the contribution for graph Γ for the integral

$$\int_{\overline{M}_{0,1+k}(\mathbb{P}^m,d)} \frac{e_i}{-z-\psi} \prod_{l=2}^{1+k} \mathrm{ev}_l^*(p).$$

With these contributions we can write the CohFT already in a form quite similar to the reconstruction formula. Let $\omega_{g,n}$ be the n-form on W' which vanishes if w_i and w_j for $i \neq j$ are inputs, which satisfies

$$\omega_{g,n}(w_i, \dots, w_i) = \frac{e(\mathbb{E}^* \otimes T_{\mathbb{P}^m, p_i})}{e_i} = e_i^{g-1} \prod_{j \neq i} c_{\lambda_j - \lambda_i}(\mathbb{E})$$

and which is for $n = 0$ defined similarly as in Example 1. We have

$$\Omega^p_{g,n}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \sum_\Gamma \frac{1}{\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)} \xi_* \left(\prod_v \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{k!} \varepsilon_* \omega_{g_v, n_v+k}(\dots) \right), \quad (11)$$

where we put

1. $\tilde{R}^{-1}(\psi)(v_i)$ into the argument corresponding to marking i,
2. a half of $\tilde{V}(\psi_1, \psi_2)$ into an argument corresponding to a node and
3. $\tilde{T}(\psi)$ into all additional arguments.

We will still need to apply the string and dilaton equation in order to make $\tilde{T}(\psi)$ to be a multiple of ψ^2, like the corresponding series in the reconstruction, and then relate the series to the R-matrix.

A.3 String and Dilaton Equation

We want to use the string and dilaton equation to bring a series

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{k!} \varepsilon_* \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{-x_i - \psi_i} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+k} Q(\psi_i) \right), \quad (12)$$

where $\varepsilon : \overline{M}_{g,n+k} \to \overline{M}_{g,n}$ is the forgetful map and $Q = Q_0 + zQ_1 + z^2Q_2 + \cdots$ is a formal series, into a canonical form.

By the string equation, (12) is annihilated by

$$\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{x_i},$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is the string operator

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_0} - Q_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_0} - Q_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_1} - Q_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_2} - \cdots.$$

Moving along the string flow for some time $-u$, i.e. applying $e^{t\mathcal{L}'}|_{t=-u}$, to (12) gives

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{k!} \varepsilon_* \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\frac{u}{x_i}}}{-x_i - \psi_i} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+k} Q'(\psi_i) \right),$$

for a new formal series $Q' = Q'_0 + zQ'_1 + z^2Q'_2 + \cdots$. In the case that

$$u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \int\limits_{\overline{M}_{0,2+k}} \prod_{i=3}^{2+k} Q(\psi_i),$$

which we will assume from now on, the new series Q' will satisfy $Q'_0 = 0$ since by the string equation $\mathcal{L}u = 1$ and therefore applying $e^{t\mathcal{L}}|_{t=-u}$ to u gives on the one hand zero and on the other hand the definition of u with Q replaced by Q', and for dimension reasons this is a nonzero multiple of Q'_0.

Next, by applying the dilaton equation we can remove the linear part from the series Q'_0

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \varepsilon_* \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{-x_i - \psi_i} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+k} Q(\psi_i) \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta^{\frac{2g-2+n+k}{2}}}{k!} \varepsilon_* \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{e^{-\frac{u}{x_i}}}{-x_i - \psi_i} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+k} Q''(\psi_i) \right), \quad (13)$$

where $Q'' = Q' - Q'_1 z$ and

$$\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} = (1 - Q'_1)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \int\limits_{\overline{M}_{0,3+k}} \prod_{i=4}^{3+k} Q(\psi_i).$$

We will also need identities in the degenerate cases $(g,n) = (0,2)$ and $(g,n) = (0,1)$. In the first case, there is the identity

$$\frac{1}{-z-w} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \int\limits_{\overline{M}_{0,2+k}} \frac{1}{-z-\psi_1} \frac{1}{-w-\psi_2} \prod_{i=3}^{2+k} Q(\psi_i) = \frac{e^{-u/z + -u/w}}{-z-w}. \quad (14)$$

In order to see that (14) is true, we use that the left hand side is annihilated by $\mathcal{L} + \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{w}$ in order to move from Q to Q' via the string flow and notice that there all of the integrals vanish for dimension reasons. Similarly, there is the identity

$$1 - \frac{Q(z)}{z} - \frac{1}{z} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \int\limits_{\overline{M}_{0,1+k}} \prod_{i=2}^{1+k} Q(\psi_i) = e^{-u/z} \left(1 - \frac{Q'(z)}{z}\right) = e^{-u/z} \left(\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{Q''(z)}{z}\right), \quad (15)$$

which can be proven like the previous identity by using that the left hand side is annihilated by $\mathcal{L} + \frac{1}{z}$.

We define the functions u_i and $(\Delta_i/e_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $i \in \{0, \ldots, m\}$ to be the u and $\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$ at the points $Q = \tilde{T}_i$ from the previous section.

A.4 Expressing localization series in terms of Frobenius structures

We apply (13) to (11) and obtain

$$\Omega^p_{g,n}(v_1,\dots,v_n)=\sum_\Gamma \frac{1}{\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)}\xi_*\left(\prod_v\sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{k!}\varepsilon_*\omega'_{g_v,n_v+k}(\dots)\right), \qquad (16)$$

where we put

1. $R^{-1}(\psi)(v_i)$ into the argument corresponding to marking i,
2. a half of $V(\psi_1,\psi_2)$ into an argument corresponding to a node and
3. $T(\psi)$ into all additional arguments.

Here R^{-1}, V and T are defined exactly as $\tilde R^{-1}$, $\tilde V$ and $\tilde T$ but with the replacement

$$\frac{e_i}{-x-\psi}\rightsquigarrow\frac{e_i e^{-\frac{u_i}{x}}}{-x-\psi}$$

made at the factors we put at the ends of the trees. The form $\omega'_{g,n}$ satisfies

$$\omega'_{g,n}(w_i,\dots,w_i)=\Delta_i^{\frac{2g-2+n}{2}}e_i^{-\frac{n}{2}}\prod_{j\neq i}c_{\lambda_j-\lambda_i}(\mathbb{E}).$$

We now want to compute R^{-1}, V and T in terms of the homomorphism valued series $S^{-1}(z)\in\mathrm{Hom}(W,W')[\![z]\!]$ with w_i-component

$$\begin{aligned}S_i^{-1}(z)&=\langle\frac{e_i\phi_i}{-z-\psi},-\rangle\\&:=\eta(e_i\phi_i,-)+\sum_{d,k=0}^\infty\frac{q^d}{k!}\int_{\overline{M}_{0,2+k}(\mathbb{P}^m,d)}\frac{\mathrm{ev}_1^*(e_i\phi_i)}{-z-\psi_1}\,\mathrm{ev}_2^*(-)\prod_{j=3}^{2+k}\mathrm{ev}_j^*(p).\end{aligned}$$

We start by computing S^{-1} via localization. Using that in genus zero the Hodge bundle is trivial we find that at the vertex with the first marking we need to compute integrals exactly as in (14), where the first summand stands for the case that the vertex is unstable and the k-summand stands for the case that the vertex is stable with k trees of type 3 and one tree of type 1. Applying (14) we obtain

$$S_i^{-1}(z)=e^{-\frac{u_i}{z}}R_i^{-1}(z).$$

Using the short-hand notation

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\langle\frac{v_1}{x_1-\psi},\frac{v_2}{x_2-\psi},\frac{v_3}{x_3-\psi}\right\rangle\\&\qquad:=\sum_{d,k=0}^\infty\frac{q^d}{k!}\int_{\overline{M}_{0,3+k}(\mathbb{P}^m,d)}\frac{\mathrm{ev}_1^*\,v_1}{x_1-\psi_1}\frac{\mathrm{ev}_2^*\,v_2}{x_2-\psi_2}\frac{\mathrm{ev}_3^*\,v_3}{x_3-\psi_3}\prod_{i=4}^{3+k}\mathrm{ev}_i^*(p)\end{aligned}$$

for genus zero Gromov-Witten invariants and applying the string equation, we can also write

$$S_i^{-1}(z) = -\frac{1}{z}\langle \frac{e_i\phi_i}{-z-\psi}, \mathbf{1}, - \rangle.$$

We have by the identity axiom and WDVV equation

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \frac{e_i\phi_i}{-z-\psi}, \frac{e_j\phi_j}{-w-\psi}, \mathbf{1}\rangle &= \langle \frac{e_i\phi_i}{-z-\psi}, \frac{e_j\phi_j}{-w-\psi}, \bullet\rangle\langle \bullet, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}\rangle \\ &= \langle \frac{e_i\phi_i}{-z-\psi}, \mathbf{1}, \bullet\rangle\langle \bullet, \mathbf{1}, \frac{e_j\phi_j}{-w-\psi}\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where in the latter two expressions the $\bullet$ should be filled with η^{-1}, so that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{S_i^{-1}(z)\eta^{-1}S_j^{-1}(w)^t}{-z-w} \\ &= \frac{\eta(e_i\phi_i, e_j\phi_j)}{-z-w} + \sum_{d,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^d}{k!} \int_{\overline{M}_{0,2+k}(\mathbb{P}^m,d)} \frac{\mathrm{ev}_1^*(e_i\phi_i)}{-z-\psi_1} \frac{\mathrm{ev}_2^*(e_j\phi_j)}{-w-\psi_2} \prod_{l=3}^{2+k} \mathrm{ev}_l^*(p).\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

We compute the right hand side via localization. There are two cases in the localization depending on whether the first and second marking are at the same or a different vertex. In the first case we apply (14) at this common vertex and obtain the total contribution

$$\frac{e_i\delta_{ij}e^{-\frac{u_i}{z}-\frac{u_j}{w}}}{-z-w},$$

which includes the unstable summand. In the other case, we apply (14) at the two vertices and obtain

$$e^{-\frac{u_i}{z}-\frac{u_j}{w}}V^{ij}(z,w).$$

So all together

$$V^{ij}(z,w) = \frac{R_i^{-1}(z)\eta^{-1}R_j^{-1}(w)^t - e_i\delta_{ij}}{-z-w}.$$

Finally we express T in terms of R by computing

$$S_i^{-1}(z)\mathbf{1} = e_i - \frac{1}{z}\sum_{d,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^d}{k!} \int_{\overline{M}_{0,1+k}(\mathbb{P}^m,d)} \frac{\mathrm{ev}_1^*(e_i\phi_i)}{-z-\psi_1} \prod_{j=2}^{1+k} \mathrm{ev}_j^*(p)$$

via localization. Applying (15) at the first marking we find that

$$S_i^{-1}(z)\mathbf{1} = e^{-\frac{u_i}{z}}\left(\Delta_i^{-\frac{1}{2}}e_i^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{T_i(z)}{z}\right).$$

So

$$T(z) = z\left(\sum_i \Delta_i^{-\frac{1}{2}}e_i^{\frac{1}{2}}w_i - R^{-1}(z)\mathbf{1}\right).$$

By (16) the underlying TQFT of $\Omega^p_{g,0}$ is given by

$$\sum_i \Delta_i^{g-1}.$$

This implies that the Δ_i need to be the inverses of the norms of the idempotents for the quantum product of equivariant $\mathbb{P}^m$ (because these are pairwise different). Since $\tilde{T}$ vanishes at $(p,q)=0$, Δ_i is e_i^{-1} at $(p,q)\to 0$. Therefore we can identify W' with W by mapping w_i to $\sqrt{\Delta_i/e_i}$ times the idempotent element which coincides with ϕ_i at $(p,q)=0$. The previous results then say exactly that Ω^p is obtained from the CohFT ω' by the action of the R-matrix R. In turn, Example 4 implies that ω' is obtained from the TQFT by the action of an R-matrix which is diagonal in the basis of idempotents and has entries

$$\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{B_{2i}}{2i(2i-1)}\sum_{j\neq i}\left(\frac{z}{\lambda_j-\lambda_i}\right)^{2i-1}\right).$$

We still need to check that R satisfies the quantum differential equation and has the correct limit (4) as $q\to 0$. By considering (17) as $w+z\to 0$ we see that $S^{-1}(z)$ satisfies the symplectic condition, i.e. its inverse $S(z)$ is the adjoint with respect to η of $S^{-1}(-z)$. More explicitly the evaluation of $S(z)$ at the ith normalized idempotent is the vector

$$\left\langle \frac{\sqrt{e_i}\phi_i}{z-\psi}, \eta^{-1}\right\rangle.$$

By the genus 0 topological recursion relations for any flat vector field X

$$z\left\langle X, \frac{\sqrt{e_i}\phi_i}{z-\psi}, \eta^{-1}\right\rangle = \langle X, \eta^{-1}, \bullet\rangle \left\langle \bullet, \frac{\sqrt{e_i}\phi_i}{z-\psi}\right\rangle,$$

where again η^{-1} should be inserted at the $\bullet$. Therefore S satisfies the quantum differential equation

$$zXS(z) = X\star S(z),$$

where on the left hand side the action of vector fields and the right hand side quantum multiplication is used.

At $q=0$, we can check that R becomes the identity matrix and therefore the R-matrix of Ω^p becomes the R-matrix of the CohFT ω', which has the correct limit (4).

References

[1] B. Dubrovin. "Geometry of 2D topological field theories". In: *Integrable systems and quantum groups (Montecatini Terme, 1993)*. Vol. 1620. Lecture Notes in Math. Berlin: Springer, 1996, pp. 120–348. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0094793. arXiv:hep-th/9407018.

[2] C. Faber and R. Pandharipande. "Relative maps and tautological classes". In: *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 7.1 (2005), pp. 13–49. ISSN: 1435-9855. DOI: 10.4171/JEMS/20. arXiv:math/0304485.

[3] A. B. Givental. "Gromov-Witten invariants and quantization of quadratic Hamiltonians". In: *Mosc. Math. J.* 1.4 (2001). Dedicated to the memory of I. G. Petrovskii on the occasion of his 100th anniversary, pp. 551–568, 645. ISSN: 1609-3321. eprint: math/0108100.

[4] A. B. Givental. "Semisimple Frobenius structures at higher genus". In: *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* 23 (2001), pp. 1265–1286. ISSN: 1073-7928. DOI: 10.1155/S1073792801000605. arXiv:math/0008067.

[5] T. Graber and R. Pandharipande. "Constructions of nontautological classes on moduli spaces of curves". In: *Michigan Math. J.* 51.1 (2003), pp. 93–109. ISSN: 0026-2285. DOI: 10.1307/mmj/1049832895. arXiv:math/0104057.

[6] T. Graber and R. Pandharipande. "Localization of virtual classes". In: *Invent. Math.* 135.2 (1999), pp. 487–518. ISSN: 0020-9910. DOI: 10.1007/s002220050293. arXiv:alg-geom/9708001.

[7] E.-N. Ionel. "Relations in the tautological ring of M_g". In: *Duke Math. J.* 129.1 (2005), pp. 157–186. ISSN: 0012-7094. DOI: 10.1215/S0012-7094-04-12916-1. arXiv:math/0312100.

[8] F. Janda. *Tautological relations in moduli spaces of weighted pointed curves*. arXiv:1306.6580 [math.AG].

[9] M. Kontsevich. "Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function". In: *Comm. Math. Phys.* 147.1 (1992), pp. 1–23. ISSN: 0010-3616. DOI: 10.1007/BF02099526.

[10] M. Kontsevich and Y. Manin. "Gromov-Witten classes, quantum cohomology, and enumerative geometry". In: *Mirror symmetry, II*. Vol. 1. AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 607–653. DOI: 10.1007/BF02101490. arXiv:hep-th/9402147.

[11] D. Mumford. "Towards an enumerative geometry of the moduli space of curves". In: *Arithmetic and geometry, Vol. II*. Vol. 36. Progr. Math. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston, 1983, pp. 271–328. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4757-9286-7_12.

[12] R. Pandharipande and A. Pixton. *Relations in the tautological ring of the moduli space of curves*. arXiv:1301.4561 [math.AG].

[13] R. Pandharipande, A. Pixton, and D. Zvonkine. "Relations on $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ via 3-spin structures". In: *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 28.1 (2015), pp. 279–309. ISSN: 0894-0347. DOI: 10.1090/S0894-0347-2014-00808-0. arXiv:1303.1043 [math.AG].

[14] R. Pandharipande, A. Pixton, and D. Zvonkine. "Relations via r-spin structures". In preparation. 2013.

[15] A. Pixton. *Conjectural relations in the tautological ring of* $\overline{M}_{g,n}$. arXiv:1207.1918 [math.AG].

[16] C. Teleman. "The structure of 2D semi-simple field theories". In: *Invent. Math.* 188.3 (2012), pp. 525–588. ISSN: 0020-9910. DOI: 10.1007/s00222-011-0352-5. arXiv:0712.0160 [math.AT].

Departement Mathematik
ETH Zürich
felix.janda@math.ethz.ch